1	AMY R. LEVINE, State Bar No. 160743	
2	AMY R. LEVINE, State Bar No. 160 alevine@mbdlaw.com SARAH L. W. SUTHERLAND, State	e Bar No. 239889
3	ssutherland@mbdlaw.com MILLER BROWN & DANNIS	
4	1 750 B Street Suite 2310	
5	San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 595-0202 Facsimile: (619) 702-6202	
6	Attorneys for Defendant / Plaintiff SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	
7	SAN DIEGO CIMILIED SCUOOF DI	SIMOI
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	T.B., et al.,	Case No.: 08 CV 0028 MMA (WMc),
12	Plaintiffs,	[Consolidated with Case No. 08 CV 0039 L RBB]
13	v.	
14	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL	STIPULATION REGARDING SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
15	DISTRICT, Defendants.	2200112 IMILITIES COMI LATIT
16		Dont Country of
17		Dept: Courtroom 5 Judge: Hon. Michael M. Anello
18	SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL	Second Amended Complaint Filed: May
20	DISTRICT,	8, 2009
21	Plaintiff,	
22	V.	
23	T.B., et al.,	
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
8v2	STIPULATION	1 08 CV 0028 MMA (WMc)
_		VOCT VVIII (WINC)

Case 3:08-cv-00028-MMA-WMC Document 70 Filed 06/02/09 Page 1 of 4

Plaintiffs T.B., a minor, Allison Brenneise and Robert Brenneise (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendant San Diego Unified School District ("Defendant"), hereby stipulate to the following:

- Plaintiffs' Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Claims for Relief 1. of their Second Amended Complaint are intended by Plaintiffs to be limited to claims that accrued on or after August 31. 2006. Plaintiffs are not seeking any remedy for injury they may have sustained before August 31, 2006 with respect to those claims.
- 2. Plaintiffs have not alleged a claim, and do not intend to allege a claim, under the California Unruh Civil Rights Act in their Second Amended Complaint, despite references to that Act in paragraphs 1, 6, and 8.
- 3. Defendant shall file an answer to the Second Amended Complaint no later than June 5, 2009, and will not bring a motion to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 12(b). However, this agreement to not bring a motion to dismiss shall not prevent Defendant from raising any defense available to it by any other type of motion, in an answer, or at trial.

Based on the stipulations above, the Parties hereby request the Court vacate the telephonic conference set for June 5, 2009 at 4:00 PM regarding the Defendant's proposed motion to dismiss pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 12(b).

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

STIPULATION

08 CV 0028 MMA (WMc)

STIPULATION

LB 133458v2

Case 3:08-cv-00028-MMA-WMC Document 70 Filed 06/02/09 Page 3 of 4